



FTU experimental campaign 2014 – C1

G. Pucella¹, on behalf of FTU-Team¹ and ECRH-Team²

¹ C.R. ENEA, Via E. Fermi 45, I-00044 Frascati, Italy

² IFP-CNR, Via R. Cozzi 53, I-20125 Milano, Italy

EUROfusion & Conferences



WP DTT-1	Liquid metal as Plasma Facing Component	2.5 ppy	(G. Mazzitelli)
WP MST2	Runaway generation and control in FTU	1.9 ppy	(B. Esposito)
ER	Parametric decay instability	1.9 ppy	(W. Bin)
ER	Density Limit Studies	1.3 ppy	(G. Pucella)
ER	Magnetic Reconnection	1.3 ppy	(P. Buratti)
WP PFC	Erosion and fuel retention experiments	0.7 ppy	(G. Maddaluno)
IAEA	Overview of the FTU results		(G. Pucella)
IAEA	On the measurement of the threshold electric field for runaway electron generation in FTU		(B. Esposito)
IAEA	Runaway Electron Control in FTU		(D. Carnevale)
IAEA	Cherenkov emission from non-thermal electrons in the presence of magnetic islands		(F. Causa)
IAEA	Peaked density profiles due to Neon injection on FTU		(C. Mazzotta)
IAEA	Driving $m/n=2/1$ Tearing Instability by Ne Injection in FTU Plasma		(A. Botrugno)
IAEA	Thermal loads on FTU actively cooled liquid lithium limiter		(G. Mazzitelli)
IAEA	EC Assisted Plasma Start-up Studies in ITER-like Configuration		(G. Granucci)
IAEA	(N)TM onset by central EC power deposition in FTU and TCV Tokamaks		(S. Nowak)
IAEA	Experiments on MHD instabilities with ECH/ECCD in FTU using a minimal RT Control System		(C. Sozzi)
EPS	First heated elongated plasmas with an actively water cooled liquid lithium limiter on FTU		(G. Calabrò)
EPS	Use of MHD markers as a tool for EC steering launcher calibration in FTU		(A. Moro)
PSI	Experiments on FTU with an actively water cooled liquid lithium limiter		(G. Mazzitelli)
PSI	Dust characterization in FTU tokamak		(M. De Angeli)
SOFT	First results on runaway electron studies using the FTU neutron camera		(D. Marocco)

Experimental programs (Ohmic + ECRH)



M01	FTU SOL scaling	(B. Viola)	
M02a	Effect of Neon injection on density peaking	(C. Mazzotta)	0.5
M02b	Tearing mode activity induced by Neon gas injection	(A. Botrugno)	0.5
M03	MHD limit cycles near the density limit	(G. Pucella)	1
M04a	Density limit for low toroidal fields and plasma currents	(G. Pucella)	1
M04b	Density limit with pellet injection	(G. Pucella)	
M05	Condition for plasma detachment	(O. Tudisco)	
M06	FTU – Hmode	(G. Ramogida)	1
M07	Threshold electric field for runaway electron generation	(B. Esposito)	1
M08	Runaway electron control with new feedback strategies	(D. Carnevale)	2
M09	Commissioning of new ECRH fast Launcher	(G. Granucci)	
M10	EC Assisted Breakdown	(G. Granucci)	2
M11	OXB double mode conversion of EC waves	(W. Bin)	
M12	Collective Thomson Scattering	(W. Bin)	1
M13	MARFE stabilization by ECRH	(W. Bin)	1
M14	ECRH on current ramp up for High Temperature plasmas	(F. P. Orsitto)	1
M15	ECRH on the magnetic island near the density limit	(G. Pucella)	1
M16	Control of sawteeth periods by pulsed ECH/ECCD	(S. Nowak)	0.5
M17	Effect of central ECH/ECCD deposition on (N)TM onset	(S. Nowak)	1
M18	(N)TM control by ECH/ECCD	(C. Sozzi)	3
M19	Wall cleaning with ECRH	(C. Sozzi)	
M20t	Thermal loads on the LLL	(G. Mazzitelli)	2.5

Experimental campaign 2014 – C1



EUROfusion		
M03	MHD limit cycles	1
M04a	DL low BT	1
M07	RE generation	1
M08	RE control	2
M12	CTS	1
M15	DL with ECRH	1
M20t	CLLL	2.5
Conferences (extra EF)		
M02a	Ne – peaking	0.5
M02b	Ne – MHD	0.5
M06	FTU-H	1
M10	EC assisted BD	2
M17	NTM destabilization	1
M18	NTM RT control	3
Extra		
M13	MARFE stabilization	1
M14	ECRH ramp-up	1
M16	ST control	0.5

20-23/05		Restart
27/05	M04a	DL low BT
28/05	M10	EC assisted BD
29/05	M03	MHD limit cycles
30/05	M07	RE generation
03/06	M13	MARFE stabilization
04/06	M06-M16	FTU-H / ST control
05/06	M12	CTS
06/06	M02a-M02b	Neon injection
10/06	M10	EC assisted BD
11/06	M14	ECRH ramp-up
12/06	M15	DL with ECRH
13/06	M08	RE control
17/06	M18	NTM RT control
18/06	M17	NTM destabilization
19/06	M18	NTM RT control
20/06	M08	RE control
24/06	M18	NTM RT control
25/06	M20-M06	CLLL / FTU-H
26/06	M20	CLLL
27/06	M20	CLLL

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M02a Neon injection - Peaking



Pulse Plan

Zero shot for 5.2 T

Determinazione del punto di lavoro della valvola, scan in densita' e isteresi:
Bt=5.2 I 360 kA ne=4 10^{19} PValve a 1.3 Come la 37342 riprendere il punto di lavoro provando e il range della calibrazione della valvola (4 scariche)

Bt=5.2 I 360 kA ne=5 10^{19} + densita'

Bt=5.2 I 360 kA ne=7 10^{19} + densita'

Bt=5.2 I 360 kA ne=2 10^{19} densita' minima (4 scariche e soglia della disuzione anticipando il t di ingresso del Neon)

Variazione corrente

Bt=5.2 I 500 kA ne=4 10^{19}

Bt=5.2 I 700 kA ne=4 10^{19}

Variazione q (campo piu' basso)

Zero shot for 2.5 T

Bt=2.5 I 360 kA ne=4 10^{19} Neon in t e quantita' da stabilirsi

Bt=2.5 I 360 kA ne=6 10^{19} Neon in t e quantita' da stabilirsi

Bt=5.2 I 500 kA ne=4 10^{19}

9	Program
2	Zero
0	Recovery
3	Repeat

C. Mazzotta

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M02b Neon injection - MHD



Pulse Plan

Early Ne puff to get a long second phase of mode dynamics without disruption

- 1) **Zero** at 3.6 T – 6.0 T - 7.2T depending on operations
- 2) $I_p=360$ kA; $n_e = 0.6-0.8 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$; $B_T=3.6$ T
- 3) $I_p=360$ kA; $n_e = 0.6-0.8 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$; $B_T=6.0$ T
- 4) $I_p=360$ kA; $n_e = 0.6-0.8 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$; $B_T=7.2$ T

Early Ne puff to get a long second phase of mode dynamics without disruption. Pellet injection 300 ms after Ne injection.

- 5) $I_p=360$ kA; $n_e = 0.6-0.8 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$; $B_T=6.0$ T
- 6) $I_p=360$ kA; $n_e = 0.6-0.8 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$; $B_T=6.0$ T

If possible

3 discharges (Ne injection for MHD formation without disruptions)

- $I_p=360$ kA $B_T=3.6$ T $n_e = 0.6 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$
- $I_p=600$ kA $B_T=6.0$ T $n_e = 0.8 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$
- $I_p=700$ kA $B_T=7.2$ T $n_e = 1.0 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$

8	Program
1	Zero
0	Recovery
0	Repeat

A. Botrugno

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M03 MHD limit cycles



Pulse Plan

Compare standard $q > 3$ cases with $q < 3$ ones (no 3/1 resonance).

- 1) Zero at 3.6 T
- 2) 3.6 T / 500 kA (target $q > 3$), ne up to tearing mode onset
- 3) 3.6 T / 500 kA, density limit (ref. 34159, 34162, 34164)
- 4) Recovery
- 5) 3.6 T / 700 kA (target $q < 3$), ne up to tearing mode onset
- 6) 3.6 T / 700 kA, density limit (the end if cycles are present)
- 7) Recovery
- 8) Repeat with different gas (the end if cycles are present)
- 9) Recovery
- 10) 3.6 T / 700 --> 500 kA, current ramp-down near density limit
- 11) Repeat

Local variations of the current density profile induced by means of the 140 GHz electron cyclotron heating system.

- 12) Zero at 5.3 T
- 13) 5.3 T / 500 kA (target), ne up to tearing mode onset
- 14) 5.3 T / 500 kA, density limit (ref. 34259)
- 15) Recovery
- 16) Target just below density limit
- 17) Repeat (adjust gas)
- 18) ECRH deposition scan: $q = 1.5 \rightarrow 2.5$
- 19) ECRH at $q = 2.5$
- 20) ECRH deposition scan: $q = 2.5 \rightarrow 3.5$

- | | |
|----|----------|
| 11 | Program |
| 2 | Zero |
| 4 | Recovery |
| 3 | Repeat |

G. Pucella

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M04a Density limit at low BT



Pulse Plan

- 1) Zero at 5.2 T
- 2) 5.2 T / 250 kA density limit
- 3) Recovery
- 4) 5.2 T / 360 kA density limit
- 5) Recovery
- 6) Zero at 4.0 T
- 7) 4.0 T / 250 kA density limit
- 8) Recovery
- 9) 4.0 T / 360 kA density limit
- 10) Recovery
- 11) Zero at 3.0 T
- 12) 3.0 T / 250 kA density limit
- 13) Recovery
- 14) 3.0 T / 360 kA density limit
- 15) Recovery
- 16) Zero at 4.0 T
- 17) 4.0 T / 250 kA density limit
- 18) Recovery
- 19) 4.0 T / 360 kA density limit
- 20) Recovery

8	Program
4	Zero
8	Recovery
0	Repeat

G. Pucella

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M06 FTU – H mode



Pulse Plan

- *RESTART*: test the 2.7T/200kA with high elongation in ohmic
 - 1) **Zero** at 2.7T
 - 2) **2.7T/200kA** “elongated” (combination of pulse #38049 (2.7T/250kA)
 - 3) “circular with I_p ramp-up optimized” and #37869 (5.5T/200kA) “D-shaped during the I_p flat-top”)

- *EXPERIMENTAL DAY 04/06/2014: Compare circular and D-shaped plasma at 2.7T, heated pulses with no CLLL*
 - 3) **Zero** at 2.7T
 - 4) **2.7 T/200 kA** (elongated), $n_e = 0.3 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$, ECRH = 1gyr for 50m
 - 5) **2.7 T/200 kA** (elongated), $n_e = 0.3 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$, ECRH = 1gyr for 200ms
 - 6) **2.7 T/200 kA** (elongated), $n_e = 0.3 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$, ECRH = 2gyrs for 200ms
 - 7) **2.7 T/200 kA** (elongated), $n_e = 0.3 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$, ECRH = 3gyrs for 200ms
 - 8) **2.7 T/200 kA** (circular target), $n_e = 0.3 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$, ECRH = max for 200ms

- *EXPERIMENTAL DAY 25/06/2014: Compare circular and D-shaped plasma at 2.7T, heated pulses with CLLL=1cm*
 - 9) **Zero** at 2.7T
 - 10) **2.7 T/200 kA** (elongated), $n_e = 0.3 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$, ECRH = 1gyr for 200ms
 - 11) **2.7 T/200 kA** (elongated), $n_e = 0.3 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$, ECRH = 2gyrs for 200ms
 - 12) **2.7 T/200 kA** (elongated), $n_e = 0.3 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$, ECRH = 3gyrs for 200ms
 - 13) **2.7 T/200 kA** (circular target), $n_e = 0.3 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$, ECRH = max for 200ms

10	Program
2	Zero
0	Recovery
0	Repeat

G. Ramogida

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M07 Runaway generation



Pulse Plan

Perform a series of low density (manual gas programming) discharges at different magnetic fields and plasma current in order to widen the range of variability of plasma parameters in which the critical electric field for runaway generation is measured

- 1) Zero at 6 T
- 2) Standard shot at 6T, 500 kA
- 3) Zero at 7.2 T
- 4) 7.2 T / 350 kA
- 5) Repeat (adjust density to find exact value for RE generation)
- 6) 6 T / 700 kA
- 7) Repeat (adjust density)
- 8) 7.2 T / 700 kA
- 9) Repeat (adjust density)
- 10) Standard shot at 6T, 500 kA (re-establish good low density conditions after 700 kA shot)
- 11) Zero at 4.5 T
- 12) 4.5 T / 350 kA
- 13) Repeat (adjust density)
- 14) Zero at 5.3 T
- 15) 5.3 T / 350 kA
- 16) Repeat (adjust density)
- 17) Zero at 8 T
- 18) 8T / 500 kA
- 19) Repeat (adjust density)

6	Program
5	Zero
2	Recovery
6	Repeat

B. Esposito

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M08 Runaway control



Pulse Plan

[D01-D02] Perform a series of low density with low prefill and neon injection induced disruptions to obtain RE plateaus and validate the new signals (even before if possible by other pulses). In the meanwhile the new controller that make use of new signals is tested (RE plateaus are necessary). The old RE position controller policy is turned on for safety reasons.

- 1) Zero at 6 T
- 2) Standard shot at 6T, 500 KA
- 3) S60M36RC01: low-prefill, V and F optimized for large F excursion, Ne injection (3 Atm, 40ms, 98V) @ 1.0s, density reference 1.5E-19 u to 1.0s [see 36569]
- 4) Repeat (adjust density profile)
- 5) Repeat (adjust prefill)
- 6) Repeat (adjust time and pressure of Ne puff)
- 7) Standard shot at 6T, 500 KA (re-establish good low density conditions and to clean the camera from Ne)
- 8) Repeat 3) (adjust density profile)
- 9) Repeat (adjust prefill)
- 10) Repeat (adjust time and pressure of Ne puff)
- 11) Standard shot at 6T, 500 KA

SATISFACTORY TARGET SCENARIO (RE plateau), NEW CONTROL CODE & SIGNALS

NO SCENARIO

- 12) Scenario Pulse [(Ip ramp down 100ms, REdes 1.16), (FC gain, Vloop gain, controller speed tuning)]
- 13) Repeat (adjust FC gain, Vloop gain, controller speed adjust)
- 14) Repeat (adjust FC gain, Vloop gain, controller speed adjust)
- 15) Repeat (adjust FC gain, Vloop gain, controller speed adjust)
- 16) Standard shot at 6T, 500 KA
- 17) 6 T / 360 kA (M07 RE generation) with V and F optimized for large F excursion, Ne injection (3 Atm, 40ms, 98V) @ 1.2s
- 18) Repeat (adjust density)
- 19) Repeat (adjust prefill)
- 20) Repeat (adjust time and pressure of Ne puff)

4 Program
1 Zero
4 Recovery
12 Repeat

D. Carnevale

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M10 EC assisted breakdown



Pulse Plan

Polarization conversion at inner wall>>>Oblique injection angle

Zero at 5.3 T

5.3 T / ECRH 400kW P8 Upper 20° t=0 / Vloop=8V (no commutation)

5.3 T / ECRH 400kW P8 Upper 24° t=0 / Vloop=8V (no commutation)

5.3 T / ECRH 400kW P12 L1 20° t=0 / Vloop=8V (no commutation)

5.3 T / ECRH 400kW P12 L1 10° t=0 / Vloop=8V (no commutation)

Minimum low field target

5.3 T / ECRH 400kW P12 L1 20° t=0 / Vloop=2V

Repeat 6) [optimization]

Zero at 2.5 T

2.5 T / ECRH 400kW P12 L1 20° t=0 / Vloop=2V

Repeat 9) [optimization]

Repeat 9) [optimization]

Vertical error field scan at minimum low field target

5.3T /400kW/Vloop_min for Bvscan (100G)

5.3T /400kW/Vloop_min for Bvscan (step ± 50G)

5.3T /400kW/Vloop_min for Bvscan (step ± 50G)

5.3T /Vloop_min/BV max at 800kW

2.5T /400kW/Vloop_min for Bvscan (100G)

2.5T /400kW/Vloop_min for Bvscan (step ± 50G)

2.5T /400kW/Vloop_min for Bvscan (step ± 50G)

2.5T /Vloop_min/BV max at 800kW

14	Program
2	Zero
0	Recovery
3	Repeat

G. Granucci

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M12 Collective Thomson Scattering



Pulse Plan

(All the discharges will be shortened in length, down to 1s)

Study of thermal scattering, find scattering on- and off-axis, setting of the attenuations on ECE + stray radiation:

1) Zero at 7.2 T

4	Program
1	Zero
0	Recovery
9	Repeat

Low density:

- 3) 7.2 T / 500 kA $n_e=6.5 \cdot 10^{19}$, linear scan with line of sight **on-axis**, tor. ang. 5°
- 4) Repeat 2) for setting of attenuations
- 5) 7.2 T / 500 kA, $n_e=6.5 \cdot 10^{19}$, linear scan with line of sight **on-axis**, tor. ang. 35°
- 6) Repeat 4) for setting of attenuations
- 7) 7.2 T / 500 kA, $n_e=6.5 \cdot 10^{19}$, linear scan **off-axis** (on m:n=2:1 position), tor. ang. 5°

High density:

- 7) Repeat 2) with $n_e=9.5 \cdot 10^{19}$
- 14) Repeat 4) with $n_e=9.5 \cdot 10^{19}$
- 15) Repeat 6) with $n_e=9.5 \cdot 10^{19}$

Density scan:

- 18) Repeat 2) with density scan $5 \cdot 10^{19} \rightarrow 8 \cdot 10^{19}$ during ECRH shot
- 19) Repeat 2) with density scan $8 \cdot 10^{19} \rightarrow 1.1 \cdot 10^{20}$ during ECRH shot
- 22) Repeat 6) with density scan $5 \cdot 10^{19} \rightarrow 8 \cdot 10^{19}$ during ECRH shot
- 23) Repeat 6) with density scan $8 \cdot 10^{19} \rightarrow 1.1 \cdot 10^{20}$ during ECRH shot

Scan along the probe beam path:

- 14) 7.2 T / 500 kA, $n_e=6.5 \cdot 10^{19}$, scan with the line of sight **along the probe beam**, tor. ang. 5°

W. Bin

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M13 MARFE stabilization



Pulse Plan

- 1) Zero at 4.8 T
- 2) 4.8 T / 500 kA $n_e=8.0 \cdot 10^{19}$ flat top, reference Ohmic shot
- 3) 4.8 T / 500 kA, density ramp-up to find the density limit for disruption (ndisr) and the line average density of MARFE onset (nmarfe) - Ohmic shot
- 4) 4.8T / 500kA, flat top: nmarfe < n_e < ndisr, ECRH from new launcher line-4 (L4_NL) for 500 ms from $t=900$ ms, poloidally toward the equatorial plane with constant toroidal injection angle ($\beta^*=5^\circ$, with $\vartheta=-11.6^\circ$, $\phi=-7.1^\circ$)

If in shot 4) ECRH stabilizes MARFE:

- 5) Density ramp-up to find the density limit for disruption when ECRH is injected to keep MARFE stabilized - ECRH (L4_NL) for 500 ms with the same launching angles as in 4)
- 6) 4.8T / 500kA, flat top: nmarfe < n_e < ndisr, ECRH (L4_NL) for 500 ms, the same toroidal angle as in shot 4) $\beta^*=5^\circ$ and poloidal scan from equatorial plane up, from ($\vartheta=-11.6^\circ$, $\phi=-7.1^\circ$) to ($\vartheta=-18.4^\circ$, $\phi=-6^\circ$)
- 7) 4.8T / 500kA, flat top: nmarfe < n_e < ndisr, ECRH (L4_NL) for 500 ms, the same toroidal angle as in shot 4) $\beta^*=5^\circ$ and poloidal scan from equatorial plane down, from ($\vartheta=-11.6^\circ$, $\phi=-7.1^\circ$) to ($\vartheta=6.4^\circ$, $\phi=-8.1^\circ$)

If in shot 4) ECRH do not stabilize MARFE:

- 5-bis) the same as shot 6): 4.8T / 500kA, flat top: nmarfe < n_e < ndisr, ECRH (L4_NL) for 500 ms, the same toroidal angle as in shot 4) $\beta^*=5^\circ$ and poloidal scan from equatorial plane up, from ($\vartheta=-11.6^\circ$, $\phi=-7.1^\circ$) to ($\vartheta=-18.4^\circ$, $\phi=-6^\circ$)
- 6-bis) the same as shot 7): 4.8T / 500kA, flat top: nmarfe < n_e < ndisr, ECRH (L4_NL) for 500 ms, the same toroidal angle as in shot 4) $\beta^*=5^\circ$ and poloidal scan from equatorial plane down, from ($\vartheta=-11.6^\circ$, $\phi=-7.1^\circ$) to ($\vartheta=6.4^\circ$, $\phi=-8.1^\circ$)
- 7-bis) the same as shot 5) but with injection angles to be defined after shots 5-bis) and 6-bis): density ramp-up to find the density limit for disruption when ECRH is injected to keep MARFE stabilized - ECRH (L4_NL) for 500 ms

4.8T / 350kA:

- 8) 4.8 T / 350 kA the same as shot 3): density ramp-up to find the density limit for disruption (ndisr) and the line average density of MARFE onset (nmarfe) - Ohmic shot
- 9) 4.8 T / 350 kA the same as shot 5): density ramp-up to find the density limit for disruption when ECRH is injected to keep MARFE stabilized - ECRH (L4_NL) for 500 ms with the same launching angles as in 4)
- 10) 4.8 T / 350 kA the same as shot 4): 4.8T / 350kA, flat top: nmarfe < n_e < ndisr, ECRH from new launcher line-4 (L4_NL) for 500 ms from $t=900$ ms, poloidally toward the equatorial plane with constant toroidal injection angle ($\beta^*=5^\circ$, with $\vartheta=-11.6^\circ$, $\phi=-7.1^\circ$)
- 11) 4.8 T / 350 kA the same as shot 6): 4.8T / 350kA, flat top: nmarfe < n_e < ndisr, ECRH (L4_NL) for 500 ms, the same toroidal angle as in shot 4) $\beta^*=5^\circ$ and poloidal scan from equatorial plane up, from ($\vartheta=-11.6^\circ$, $\phi=-7.1^\circ$) to ($\vartheta=-18.4^\circ$, $\phi=-6^\circ$)
- 12) 4.8 T / 350 kA the same as shot 7): 4.8T / 350kA, flat top: nmarfe < n_e < ndisr, ECRH (L4_NL) for 500 ms, the same toroidal angle as in shot 4) $\beta^*=5^\circ$ and poloidal scan from equatorial plane down, from ($\vartheta=-11.6^\circ$, $\phi=-7.1^\circ$) to ($\vartheta=6.4^\circ$, $\phi=-8.1^\circ$)

11	Program
1	Zero
0	Recovery
0	Repeat

W. Bin

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M14 ECRH ramp-up



Pulse Plan

1. **Reproduce** the shot 32607 and check the stability of the plasma position (2shots)
 2. **Increase Temperature1/Check stability and reconnection** .Increase the power to the centre progressively to get a 4 **gyrotrons central heating** (2shots)
 3. **Delay Reconnection to get High bulk Te**. Move one gyrotron to the position of the $q=2$ (leaving progressively only two and three gyrotrons heating the centre) and check the effect on the possible delay of the time of the reconnection (2 shots)
 4. **Increase Temperature2/Time scan ECRH** . .Make a time scan of bulk ECRH heating : $t_{ECRH}=20ms, 30ms$: see the effect on T_{max} (3shots)
 5. **Increase Temperature3/Time scan of I_p slope**. Make a scan on the time of the change of the time derivative of the current from $t=50ms$, to $t=60ms$, and $t=40ms$, and see if there is an effect on T_{max} , and on the delay of the reconnection (2 shots)
 6. **Shape q-profile by overshoot.test in a technical session** the technique of the overshoot (if it's available) on the shot 32607(2shots)
- Total 11 shots . + 2 shots in a technical session

11	Program
1	Zero
0	Recovery
2	Repeat

F. P. Orsitto

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M15 Density limit with ECRH



Pulse Plan

- 1) Zero at 5.3 T
- 2) 5.3 T / 250 kA, density ramp-up, ECRH 400 kW
- 3) Repeat
- 4) 5.3 T / 250 kA, density ramp-up, ECRH 800 kW
- 5) Repeat
- 6) 5.3 T / 360 kA, density ramp-up, ECRH 400 kW
- 7) Repeat
- 8) 5.3 T / 360 kA, density ramp-up, ECRH 800 kW
- 9) Repeat
- 10) 5.3 T / 500 kA, density ramp-up, ECRH 400 kW
- 11) Recovery
- 12) 5.3 T / 500 kA, density ramp-up, ECRH 800 kW
- 13) Recovery
- 14) 5.3 T / 700 kA, density ramp-up, ECRH 400 kW
- 15) Recovery
- 16) 5.3 T / 700 kA, density ramp-up, ECRH 800 kW
- 17) Recovery

8	Program
1	Zero
4	Recovery
4	Repeat

G. Pucella

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M16 Sawtooth period control



Pulse Plan

Effect of fast EC modulation inside $q=1$ on sawtooth locking using Bt power ramp

- 1) **Zero** : ref. #37502
- 2) **Bt ramp up / 500 kA / $n_e \sim 0.6$, with maximum available EC power (3 or 4 gyrs.) in co-ECCD, central deposition, 125 Hz, 500 ms**
- 3) **Repeat 2)** with 250 Hz, 500 ms
- 4) **Repeat 3)** with 166 Hz, 500 ms
- 5) **Repeat 3)** with 100 Hz, 500 ms
- 6) **Repeat 3)** with 200 Hz, 500 ms

Effect of fast EC modulation inside $q=1$ on sawtooth locking using proper constant Bt

- 7) **Zero** at the chosen Bt
- 8) **Repeat 2)** at constant Bt
- 9) **Repeat 8)** with 166 Hz, 500ms
- 10) **Repeat 8)** with 100 Hz, 500ms

- | | |
|---|----------|
| 1 | Program |
| 2 | Zero |
| 0 | Recovery |
| 7 | Repeat |

S. Nowak

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M17 NTM destabilization



Pulse Plan

Effect of on-axis co-/cnt-ECCD on (N)TM onset at $I_p=500$ kA.

- 1) **Zero** at 5.3 T
- 2) 5.3 T / 500 kA/ $ne \sim 0.65$ (ref. #37581), 2 gyrs. , co-ECCD
- 3) Repeat 2) with 3 gyrs. , co-ECCD
- 4) Repeat 3) with 4 gyrs. (if possible), if not repeat 3) with $ne \sim 0.4$
- 5) Repeat 3) with EC deposition around $q=1$
- 6) Repeat 3) in cnt-ECCD
- 7) Repeat 5) in cnt-ECCD
- 8) Repeat 4) in cnt-ECCD
- 9) Repeat 3) in pure heating
- 10) Repeat 4) in pure heating

Effect of on-axis co-/cnt-ECCD on (N)TM onset at $I_p=360$ kA and same pulse sequence as before.

- 11) **Zero** at 5.3 T
- 12) 5.3 T / 360 kA/ $ne \sim 0.65$ (ref. #37599) , 2 gyrs. , co-ECCD
- 13) Repeat 12) with 3 gyrs. , co-ECCD
- 14) Repeat 13) with 4 gyrs. (if possible), if not repeat 13) with $ne \sim 0.4$
- 15) Repeat 13) with EC deposition around $q=1$
- 16) Repeat 13) in cnt-ECCD
- 17) Repeat 15) in cnt-ECCD
- 18) Repeat 14) in cnt-ECCD
- 19) Repeat 13) in pure heating
- 20) Repeat 14) in pure heating

2	Program
2	Zero
0	Recovery
16	Repeat

S. Nowak

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M18 NTM real-time control



Pulse Plan

Day ONE

reproduce Ne target (0.5 T / 500 kA, 0.6 1020 particles m⁻³)

1) **Zero** at 5.3 T

2-4) **Target optimization** (references 37448-37454)

to obtain the time response and offset value to be used as starting values for the active control

5-13) **change beam scan starting radius (3 offset values) and scanning speed (3 values).**

14-19) after selecting best scanning speed, **Repeat** using ECCD for the 3 offset values and 2 launching toroidal angles (if the time is enough)

Recovery pulses to be decided during the session

Day TWO

Test control with increasing power at different power level (?): use of multiple gyrotrons.

20) **Zero** at 5.3 T

21-23) **Target optimization** (references 37448-37454)

24-26) **Tuning of fixed gyrotron** (if available)

27-35) **Test of Probe and suppress strategy: Tuning radial offset between gyrotrons (3 values) and threshold of intervention (2 values)**

36) **Repeat** best tuning using ECCD (if the time is enough)

37-45) **Test Automatic incremental search strategy. Tune steps duration (3 values) and radial size (3 values)**

46-48) **Repeat** using ECCD. Tuning of steps radial size (3 values) (if the time is enough)

Recovery pulses to be decided during the session

Day THREE

Continuation of Day TWO program if not completed

Comparison pulses in ECCD (if not performed before):

6+4 pulses

or: Control of MHD induced by density limit

49) **Zero** at 5.3 T

50-52) **Target optimization** (references 38027)

Test control strategy on

53-55) **change priority logic to control of multiple launcher**

56-58) **Repeat** using ECCD

Test control strategy with different I_p , both with ECRH and ECCD.

Recovery pulses to be decided during the session

44	Program
3	Zero
12	Recovery
16	Repeat

C. Sozzi

Frascati, 19 May 2014

M20t Thermal loads on the CLLL



Pulse Plan

- a) Standard ohmic discharges: **16**
- b) ECRH pulses (if available, non necessary): **4**

2	Program
0	Zero
0	Recovery
18	Repeat

G. Mazzitelli

Frascati, 19 May 2014